

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel Claim 2.

Please replace the claims with the attached amended claims.

Please add new Claims 23-25.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge our deposit account no. 12-0400 in the amount of \$50.00 for the two new claims added in excess of twenty total pending claims. The Applicant/Assignee qualifies as a small entity.

REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated December 6, 2004, the Examiner states that Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-22 are pending and Claims 1, 2, 4-8 and 10-22 are rejected. By the present Amendment, Applicant amends the specification and the claims.

In the Office Action, the claims are objected to for various informalities. Most of the informalities seem to be caused by a defective telefax transmission. The Applicant has attended to correction of the informalities.

The specification is objected to for failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the subject matter in Claim 22. The Applicant has amended the specification to incorporate the subject matter of Claim 22.

Claims 2, 6 and 22 are objected to as being a substantial duplicate of Claim 1 since those claims do not further limit the device being claimed. The Applicant has cancelled Claim 2. However, the Applicant respectfully disagrees with and traverses the objection to Claims 6 and 22. In Claim 6, the device is further limited by "the outer side portion of the device has at least one opening". The device of Claim 1 does not necessarily specify an outer side portion with an opening. Likewise, in Claim 22, the outer side portion is further limited in size and configuration which was not necessarily specified in Claim 1.

In the Office Action, Claims 1-2, 4-8 and 10-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph as being non-enabling with regard to the limitation